

## Effect of Spacing and Dosage of Nitrogen on Turcicum Leaf Blight Disease Incidence on Maize

Praveen Kumar\* M., Narayan Reddy P., Ranga Reddy R. and Suresh Babu Ch

Department of Plant Pathology, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Rajendranagar,

Hyderabad - 500 030, Andhra Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: [praveen130agrico@gmail.com](mailto:praveen130agrico@gmail.com)

Received: 20.07.2017 | Revised: 29.07.2017 | Accepted: 30.07.2017

### ABSTRACT

Plant population and dosage of nitrogen fertilizer influences the turcicum leaf blight disease incidence. In this investigation carried out in the farm of ANGRAU and results pertaining to disease severity, grain yield, plant height and plant weight of maize hybrid DHM 111 and evaluated various spacing and nitrogen dosages, those are close spacing (45 X 25 cm, plant population per ha was 88,888), normal spacing (60 X 25 cm, (plant population per ha 66,666) and wide spacing (75 X 25 cm, plant population per ha was 57,142) and with different dose rates of nitrogen fertilizers, 120, 160, 200 & 240 kg/ha accordingly (Table 1).

**Key words:** Maize, turcicum leaf blight, *Exserohilum turcicum*, Nitrogen fertilizer, Spacing

### INTRODUCTION

Maize is one of the important major cereal crop and it is 3<sup>rd</sup> major crop in India after rice and wheat. The maize crop is affected by number of fungal diseases among the fungal diseases maize leaf blight or northern corn leaf blight or turcicum leaf blight (TLB) is one of the important and major threat in recent days which causes extensive damage in grain yield. The turcicum leaf blight of maize caused by *Exserohilum turcicum*.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Dosage of Nitrogen fertilizer and spacing

The nitrogen application will be 0, 30, 55 days after sowing. Border rows of the maize plants artificially inoculated by pathogen in 25 days after sowing. Disease severity observations measured through disease scale ratings are 0 to 9.

$$\text{PDI} = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical rating}}{\text{Total number of plants observed X Maximum rating}} \times 100$$

**Cite this article:** Kumar P.M., Reddy, N.P., Reddy, R.R. and Babu, S.Ch., Effect of Spacing and Dosage of Nitrogen on Turcicum Leaf Blight Disease Incidence on Maize, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(4): 1273-1276 (2017). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5684>

**Table1: Spacing and Nitrogen fertilizer levels**

Spacing (cm)	Nitrogen dosages(kg/ha)
45 X 25	120, 160,200,240
60 X 25	120, 160,200,240
75 X 25	120, 160,200,240

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Per cent disease index

The results indicated that the treatment which received high nitrogen fertilizer dosage and closed spacing showed that the high significance. The significance (Table2) showed that interaction between nitrogen fertilizer and spacing. The interaction (Table 2) showed that high percentage disease index (43.8%) was in S<sub>1</sub> 45x25 cm and N<sub>4</sub> 240 kg/ ha nitrogen fertilizer.

There was significant interaction between nitrogen levels and spacing.

### Grain yield

The result showed that the grain yield (q/ha) was significantly influenced by spacing.

The highest grain yield was obtained with the spacing of S<sub>2</sub> (60x25 cm), which was significantly superior to all the spacing, followed by S<sub>1</sub> (45x25 cm) and the lowest grain yield was obtained with the spacing of S<sub>3</sub> (75x25 cm).

The grain yield (q/ha) was significantly influenced by nitrogen levels. The highest grain yield (54.1q/ha) was obtained with the N<sub>1</sub> (120 kg/ha), which was significantly superior to N<sub>3</sub> (200 kg/ha) and N<sub>4</sub> (240 kg/ha) levels of nitrogen fertilizer. The levels of N<sub>1</sub> (120 kg/ha) and N<sub>2</sub>(160 kg/ha) on par with each other.

There was no significant interaction between nitrogen levels and spacing.

### Plant height

The plant height was influenced by spacing. The highest plant height was noticed by the spacing of S<sub>1</sub> (45X25 cm), which was superior to S<sub>2</sub> (60X25 cm) and S<sub>3</sub> (75X25 cm) spacing. The lowest plant height was observed with the spacing of S<sub>3</sub> (75X25 cm).

The plant height was significantly influenced by nitrogen levels. The highest plant height was noticed with the application of N<sub>4</sub> (240 kg/ha), which was significantly superior to all the levels. The lowest plant height was observed with the application of N<sub>1</sub> (120 kg/a).

There was no significant interaction between nitrogen levels and spacing.

### Plant weight

The plant weight was significantly influenced by spacings. The weight plant weight was recorded with the spacing of S<sub>3</sub> (75X25 cm), which was significantly superior to S<sub>1</sub> (45x25 cm) and S<sub>2</sub> (60X25 cm). The lowest plant weight was recorded with S<sub>1</sub> (45x25 cm).

The plant weight was significantly influenced by nitrogen levels. The highest plant weight was indicated with the application of N<sub>4</sub> (240 kg/ha), which was superior to all the levels. Significantly the highest plant weight was indicated with the application of N<sub>3</sub> (200 kg/ha), which was significantly superior to N<sub>1</sub> (120 kg/ha) and N<sub>2</sub> (160 kg/ha). The lowest plant weight was indicated with the application of N<sub>1</sub> (120 kg/ha).

There was no significant interaction between nitrogen levels and spacing.

**Table 2: Per cent disease index (PDI), grain yield, plant height and plant weight in maize Turcicum leaf blight influenced by spacing and nitrogen fertilizer**

Treatment	* Per cent disease index(PDI)	Grain yield (q/ha)	Plant height (mt)	Plant weight (gr)
Spacing (cm):				
S <sub>1</sub> - 45x25	30.0 (32.9)	54.1	2.13	301.6
S <sub>2</sub> - 60x25	25.0 (29.8)	58.0	2.11	316.2
S <sub>3</sub> - 75x25	17.8 (24.9)	49.2	2.06	365.6
Sem ±	0.21	0.56	0.07	8.63
C.D at 5 %	0.60	1.50	0.20	23.9
Nitrogen levels (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
N <sub>1</sub> - 120	16.7 (24.0)	55.4	1.7	292.8
N <sub>2</sub> - 160	20.2 (26.6)	54.6	1.9	306.6
N <sub>3</sub> - 200	26.9 (31.1)	52.7	2.1	331.4
N <sub>4</sub> - 240	33.3 (35.0)	52.4	2.5	380.4
Sem ±	0.37	0.64	0.06	8.62
C.D at 5 %	0.78	1.36	0.12	18.12

Mean of three replications

\* Figures in the parenthesis are angular transformed values

**Table 3: Interaction table for nitrogen fertilizer and spacing**

S.No.	Treatment	45x25 cm	60x25 cm	75x25 cm	Mean
1.	N-120 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	20.0	16.3	13.8	16.7
2.	N-160 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	22.8	21.5	16.4	20.2
3.	N-200 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	33.5	28.1	19.1	26.9
4.	N-240 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	43.8	34.1	22.0	33.3
5.	Mean	30.0	25.0	17.8	----

S.No.		Sem	CD
1.	Main	0.21	0.60
2.	Sub	0.37	0.78
3.	Sub at same level	0.65	1.36
4.	Main at same	0.50	1.09

## REFERENCES

- Bair, W., Moghan, J.H. and Ayers, J.E., Effect of time and rate of N- side dress application on northern corn leaf blight severity and the associated yield loss. *Journal of Prod. Agriculture* **3**: 44-49 (1990).
- Bimla Rai, Kumar, S. and Kumar, B., Effect of doses of nitrogen on the development of turcicum leaf blight and yield of maize, *Annals of Biology* **18**: 137-141 (2002).
- Dawood, N. A., Diab, M.S., Awad, M.A., and Shanawan, M.Z., Effect of fertilization, irrigation and plant density on leaf blight and yield of maize. *Minifya J. Agric. Research* **10**: 65-75(1985).
- Fisher, D.E., Hooker, A.L., Lim, S.M., and Smith, D.R., Leaf infection and yield loss caused by four *Helminthosporium* leaf

- diseases of corn. *Phytopathology* **66**: 942-944 (1976).
5. Mayee, C.D., and Datar, V.V., Scale of recording of turcicum leaf blight disease incidence of maize *Phytopathometry Tech. MAU, Parbhani* Pp **56**: (1986).
  6. Muhammad J., Hakim Khan., Asad Ali, Musharaf Ahmad. and Fazli R., Response of various maize cultivars to different levels of nitrogen against *Bipolaris maydis* under natural epiphytotic conditions. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* **25(2)**: 243-249 (2009).
  7. Pal D., and Kaiser, S.A.K.M., Effect of agronomic practices on *Maydis* leaf blight disease of maize. *Journal of Mycopathological research* **39(2)**: 77-82 (2001).
  8. Payak M.M., and Sharma R.C., Maize diseases and approaches to their management. *Tropical pest management* **31**: 302-310 (1985).
  9. Roy R.K., and Misra A.P., The influence of soil fertility on the severity of leaf blight on maize. *Indian Phytopathology* **19**: 359-366 (1966).
  10. Sathiyasundaram R., Challaiah and Nagaraju Effect of different sources of nitrogen on the Occurrence and severity of leaf blight of maize. *Environment and Ecology* **23(4)**: 894-896 (2005).
  11. Sharma, J.P., and Mishra, B., Effect of inorganic nutrients on severity of turcicum leaf blight and grain yield of maize in North Bihar. *Journal of Research, Bihar Agricultural University* **1(2)**: 153-156 (1989).
  12. Sharma, J.P., and Sharma, U.C., Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on the yield and severity of turcicum leaf blight of maize in Nagaland. *Indian Phytopathology* **44**: 383-385 (1991).
  13. Sheelavantar, M.N., Murthy, A.S.P., and Hiremanth P.C., Influence of neem cake, fertilizers and leaf composition on the incidence of *drechslera turcica* causing leaf blight of maize. *Indian Phytopathology* **39**: 478-480 (1986).
  14. Stoner, W.M., The effect of various phosphorus and potassium fertilizers application on the incidence and severity of *Helminthosporium turcicum* leaf blight of sweet corn. *Proc. Fla. St. Hort. Soc.* **64**: 131-133 (1951).
  15. Tolba, S.A.E., Eetmad, E.D., and El-salamony, E.A., Effect of nitrogen fertilization and plant density on infection with *Helminthosporium* leaf spot in some maize genotypes. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences* **43(4)**: 1461-1469 (2005).